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#### Abstract

We give an example of hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities in $\mathrm{P}^{4}(\mathbf{C})$, whose normalizations have isolated rational quadruple points only as singularities. From Schlessinger's criterion, it follows that these isolated rational singular points are rigid under small deformations.
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1. An example of hypersurfaces in $P^{4}(C)$ whose singularities are ordinary except at finite points. Let $H_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ be non-singular hypersurfaces of degrees $r_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$, respectively, in the complex projective 4 -space $\mathrm{P}^{4}(\mathbf{C})$ such that they are in general position at every point where they intersect. We put $D_{T}^{(i j)}:=H_{i} \cap H_{j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $D_{T}:=\bigcup_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4} D_{T}^{(i j)}$. Let $f_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ be the homogeneous polynomial of degree $r_{i}$ which defines the hypersurface $H_{i}$. We may assume $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq$ $r_{3} \geq r_{4}$ because of symmetry. We choose and fix a positive integer $n$ with $n \geq 2 r_{1}+2 r_{2}+2 r_{3}$. Let $T$ be a hypersurface in $\mathrm{P}^{4}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
F:= & A f_{1} f_{2} f_{3} f_{4}+B\left(f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{2}+C\left(f_{1} f_{2} f_{4}\right)^{2}  \tag{1.1}\\
& +D\left(f_{1} f_{3} f_{4}\right)^{2}+E\left(f_{2} f_{3} f_{4}\right)^{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ are homogeneous polynomials of five variables of respective degrees $n-r_{1}$ -$r_{2}-r_{3}-r_{4}, n-2 r_{1}-2 r_{2}-2 r_{3}, n-2 r_{1}-2 r_{2}-2 r_{4}$, $n-2 r_{1}-2 r_{3}-2 r_{4}$ and $n-2 r_{2}-2 r_{3}-2 r_{4}$. By Bertini's theorem, $T$ is non-singular outside $D_{T}$ if we choose sufficiently generic $A, B, C, D$ and $E$.

Proposition 1.1. If the homogeneous polynomials $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ are chosen sufficiently generic, then $T$ is locally isomorphic to one of the following germs of three dimensional hypersurface singularities at the origin of $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ at every point of $T$ :
(i) $w=0$ (simple point),
(ii) $z w=0$ (ordinary double point),
(iii) $y z w=0$ (ordinary triple point),
(iv) $x y z w=0$ (ordinary quadruple point),

[^0](v) $x y^{2}-z^{2}=0 \quad$ (cuspidal point),
(vi) $(x y)^{2}+(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+x y z w=0$ (degenerate ordinary triple point),
where $(x, y, z, w)$ is the coordinate on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$.
Proof. (i) Let $p \in D_{T}$ be a point satisfying $f_{i}(p)=0,1 \leq i \leq 4$. We may assume that $A(p) B(p) C(p) D(p) E(p) \neq 0$. We make the transformations of local coordinates
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{A^{2} E}{B C D}} \frac{X}{\sqrt{1+f}}, \sqrt[4]{\frac{A^{2} D}{B C E}} \frac{Y}{\sqrt{1+f}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \sqrt[4]{\frac{A^{2} C}{B D E}} \frac{Z}{\sqrt{1+f}}, \sqrt[4]{\frac{A^{2} B}{C D E}} \frac{W}{\sqrt{1+f}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f:= & (X Y)^{2}+(X Z)^{2}+(X W)^{2}+(Y Z)^{2} \\
& +(Y W)^{2}+(Z W)^{2} \\
& +X Y Z W\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}+W^{2}+X Y Z W\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X+Y Z W, Y+X Z W, Z+X Y W, W+X Y Z) \\
& \quad \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

successively in a neighborhood of $p$. Then the equation in (1.1) is transformed to $A^{\prime} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W^{\prime}=0$, where $A^{\prime}:=A^{3} /\left\{\sqrt{B C D E}(1+f)^{3}\right\}$. Namely, the point $p$ is an ordinary quadruple point.
(ii) Let $p \in D_{T}$ be a point where three of $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, vanish, but all of $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, do not. Suppose that $f_{1}(p)=f_{2}(p)=f_{3}(p)=0$ and $f_{4}(p) \neq 0$. We write $F$ in (1.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & A^{\prime} f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}+C^{\prime}\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{1.2}\\
& +D^{\prime}\left(f_{1} f_{3}\right)^{2}+E^{\prime}\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\prime}:=A f_{4}+B f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}, C^{\prime}:=C f_{4}^{2}, D^{\prime}:=D f_{4}^{2}$ and $E^{\prime}:=E f_{4}^{2}$. We may assume that both of $A^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime} D^{\prime} E^{\prime}$ do not vanish at $p$.
(ii- $\alpha$ ) In the case of $A^{\prime}(p) C^{\prime}(p) D^{\prime}(p) E^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$ :
We make the transformations of local coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \quad\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{\sqrt{C^{\prime} D^{\prime}}} \frac{X}{1+g}, \frac{A^{\prime}}{\sqrt{C^{\prime} E^{\prime}}} \frac{Y}{1+g}, \frac{A^{\prime}}{\sqrt{D^{\prime} E^{\prime}}} \frac{Z}{1+g}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g:=X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}+X Y Z$, and

$$
(X+Y Z, Y+X Z, Z+X Y) \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)
$$

successively in a neighborhood of $p$. Then the equation $F=0$ is transformed to $A^{\prime \prime} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}=0$, where $A^{\prime \prime}:=A^{\prime 4} /\left\{C^{\prime} D^{\prime} E^{\prime}(1+g)^{4}\right\}$. Hence, $p$ is an ordinary triple point.
(ii- $\beta$ ) In the case of $A^{\prime}(p) \neq 0, C^{\prime}(p) D^{\prime}(p) E^{\prime}(p)=$ 0 : Taking sufficiently generic $C, D$ and $E$, we may assume that two of $C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ do not vanish at $p$. Suppose that $C^{\prime}(p)=0$ and $D^{\prime}(p) E^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$. We put $X:=f_{1}, Y:=f_{2}, Z:=f_{3}$ and $W:=C^{\prime}$. We may consider that $(X, Y, Z, W)$ is a system of local coordinates at $p$ by taking a sufficiently generic $C$. Using the local coordinate ( $X, Y, Z, W$ ), we can write $F$ in (1.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=A^{\prime} X Y Z+W(X Y)^{2}  \tag{1.3}\\
&+D^{\prime}(X Z)^{2}+E^{\prime}(Y Z)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\prime}(p) D^{\prime}(p) E^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$. We make the transformations of local coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X, Y, Z, W) \rightarrow \\
& \quad\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{\sqrt{D^{\prime}}} \frac{X^{\prime}}{1+h}, \frac{A^{\prime}}{\sqrt{E^{\prime}}} \frac{Y^{\prime}}{1+h}, \frac{A^{\prime}}{\sqrt{D^{\prime} E^{\prime}}} \frac{Z^{\prime}}{1+h}, W\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h:=Z^{\prime 2}+\left(X^{\prime 2}+Y^{\prime 2}+X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}\right) W$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}+X^{\prime} Z^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}+X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} W, W\right) \\
& \quad \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, W\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

successively in a neighborhood of $p$. Then the equation $F=0$ is transformed to $A^{\prime \prime} X^{\prime \prime} Y^{\prime \prime} Z^{\prime \prime}=0$, where $A^{\prime \prime}:=A^{\prime 4} /\left\{D^{\prime} E^{\prime}(1+h)^{4}\right\}$. Hence, $p$ is an ordinary triple point.
(ii- $\gamma$ ) In the case of $A^{\prime}(p)=0, C^{\prime}(p) D^{\prime}(p) E^{\prime}(p) \neq$ 0 : We put $X:=f_{1}, Y:=f_{2}, Z:=f_{3}$ and $W:=A^{\prime}$. We may consider that $(X, Y, Z, W)$ is a system of local coordinates at $p$ by taking sufficiently generic
$A$ and $B$. Using the local coordinate $(X, Y, Z, W)$, we can write $F$ in (1.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & X Y Z W+C^{\prime}(X Y)^{2}  \tag{1.4}\\
& +D^{\prime}(X Z)^{2}+E^{\prime}(Y Z)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We make the transformation of local coordinates

$$
(X, Y, Z, W) \rightarrow\left(\frac{X^{\prime}}{\sqrt{C^{\prime} D^{\prime}}} \frac{Y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{C^{\prime} E^{\prime}}}, \frac{Z^{\prime}}{\sqrt{D^{\prime} E^{\prime}}}, W\right)
$$

Then the equation $F=0$ is transformed to
$\frac{1}{C^{\prime} D^{\prime} E^{\prime}}\left\{X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime} W+\left(X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(X^{\prime} Z^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\}$

$$
=0
$$

which defines the singularity (vi) in Proposition 1.1.
(iii) Let $p \in D_{T}$ be a point where two of $f_{i}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq 4$, vanish, but more than two of $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq$ 4 , do not. Suppose that $f_{1}(p)=f_{2}(p)=0$ and $f_{3}(p) f_{4}(p) \neq 0$. We write $F$ in (1.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=B^{\prime} f_{1}^{2}+A^{\prime} f_{1} f_{2}+E^{\prime} f_{2}^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B^{\prime}:=\left(B f_{3}^{2}+C f_{4}^{2}\right) f_{2}^{2}+D f_{3}^{2} f_{4}^{2}, A^{\prime}:=A f_{3} f_{4}$ and $E^{\prime}:=E f_{3}^{2} f_{4}^{2}$.
(iii- $\alpha$ ) In the case of $B^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$, or $E^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$ : Suppose $B^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$. Then $F$ in (1.5) is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=B^{\prime}\left(f_{1}+\frac{A^{\prime}}{}-\sqrt{A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}}\right. \\
& 2 B^{\prime}\left.f_{2}\right) \\
& \times\left(f_{1}+\frac{A^{\prime}+\sqrt{A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}}}{2 B^{\prime}} f_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in a neighborhood of $p$.
$(\text { iii- } \alpha)_{d}$ If $\left(A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)(p) \neq 0$, then the transfomation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}+\frac{A^{\prime}-\sqrt{A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}}}{2 B^{\prime}} f_{2} \longrightarrow X, \\
& f_{1}+\frac{A^{\prime}+\sqrt{A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}}}{2 B^{\prime}} f_{2} \longrightarrow Y
\end{aligned}
$$

can be regarded as that of local coordinates. By this trasformation the equation $F=0$ is transformed to $B^{\prime} X Y=0$, where $B^{\prime}$ is a non-vanishing factor. Hence $p$ is an ordinary double point.
$(\text { iii- } \alpha)_{c}$ If $\left(A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)(p)=0$, we make the transformation of local coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{A^{\prime 2}-4 B^{\prime} E^{\prime}}{\left(2 B^{\prime}\right)^{2}} & \longrightarrow X \\
f_{2} & \longrightarrow Y \\
f_{1}+\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 B^{\prime}} f_{2} & \longrightarrow Z
\end{aligned}
$$

in a neighborhood of $p$. Then the equation $F=0$ is transformed to

$$
B^{\prime}(Z+\sqrt{X} Y)(Z-\sqrt{X} Y)=B^{\prime}\left(Z^{2}-X Y^{2}\right)=0
$$

Hence $p$ is a cuspidal point.
(iii- $\beta$ ) In the case of $B^{\prime}(p)=E^{\prime}(p)=0$ : We put $X:=f_{1}, Y:=f_{2}, Z:=B^{\prime}$ and $W=E^{\prime}$. We may consider that $(X, Y, Z, W)$ is a system of local coordinates at $p$ by taking sufficiently generic $B, C$, $D$ and $E$. Using the local coordinate $(X, Y, Z, W)$, we can write $F$ in (1.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=A^{\prime} X Y+Z X^{2}+W Y^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that $A^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$. We make the transformations of local coordinates
$(X, Y, Z, W) \rightarrow\left(X, Y, A^{\prime} Z^{\prime}, A^{\prime} W^{\prime}\right)$,
$\left(X, Y, Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$
$\left(\frac{X^{\prime}}{1+Z^{\prime \prime} W^{\prime \prime}}, \frac{Y^{\prime}}{1+Z^{\prime \prime} W^{\prime \prime}}, \frac{Z^{\prime \prime}}{1+Z^{\prime \prime} W^{\prime \prime}}, \frac{W^{\prime \prime}}{1+Z^{\prime \prime} W^{\prime \prime}}\right)$,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(X^{\prime}+W^{\prime \prime} Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}+Z^{\prime \prime} X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime}\right) \longrightarrow \\
&\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

successively in a neighborhood of $p$. Then the equation $F=0$ is transformed to $A^{\prime \prime} X^{\prime \prime} Y^{\prime \prime}=0$, where $A^{\prime \prime}:=A^{\prime} /\left(1+Z^{\prime \prime} W^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}$. Hence $p$ is an ordinary double point.

Note: The singularities from (ii) through (v) in Proposition 1.1 are ordinary in the sense of Roth ([2]). Besides these four types of singularities, the stationary point, i.e., the singular point defined by the equation $w\left(x y^{2}-z^{2}\right)=0$ in $\mathbf{C}^{4}$, is also ordinary. These ordinary singularities arise if we project a non-singular threefold embedded in a sufficiently high dimensional complex projective space to its four dimensional linear subspace by a generic linear projection.
2. The singularity $(x y)^{2}+(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+$ $x y z w=0$.

Proposition 2.1. In the expression $(x y)^{2}+$ $(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+x y z w=0$, we consider $w$ as parameter. Then, if $w \neq 0$, the singularity defined by this equation is an ordinary triple point.

Proof. The equation $(x y)^{2}+(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+$ $x y z w=0$ is a special one of the equation $F=0$ in the case (ii- $\alpha$ ) in the proof of Proposition 1.1 if $w \neq$ 0 . Hence it defines an ordinary triple point around $(0,0,0, w)$ with $w \neq 0$.

Because of Proposition 2.1, the singularity $(x y)^{2}+(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+x y z w=0$ might be considerd as a degenerate ordinary triple point.

Proposition 2.2. Let $v: \mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})$ be the Veronese embedding of degree 2, namely, the map defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\xi_{0}: \xi_{1}: \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}) \\
& \quad \rightarrow\left(\xi_{0}^{2}: \xi_{1}^{2}: \xi_{2}^{2}: \xi_{0} \xi_{1}: \xi_{0} \xi_{2}: \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $p: \mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C})$ be the linear projection defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C}) \\
& \quad \rightarrow\left(y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}:-\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=(x: y: z: w) \in \mathrm{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the hypersurface in $\mathrm{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by the equation $(x y)^{2}+(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+x y z w=0$ coincides with $(p \circ v)\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})\right)$, which is an algebraic surface with ordinary singularities, known as the Steiner surface.

The proof of this proposition is a direct calculation.

Theorem 2.3. The normalization of the singularity defined by the equation (vi) in Proposition 1.1 at the origin of $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ is an isolated rational quadruple point, which is rigid under small deformations.

Proof. We denote by $S$ the Steiner surface, i.e., the projective variety in $\mathrm{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by the equation $(x y)^{2}+(y z)^{2}+(z x)^{2}+x y z w=0$, and by $C_{S}$ the affine variety in $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ defined by the same equation, i.e., the cone over $S$. We denote by $X$ the image of $\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})$ in $\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})$ by the Veronese embedding of degree 2 , and by $C_{X}$ the affine variety in $\mathbf{C}^{6}$ corresponding to $X$, i.e., the cone over $X$. Note that $C_{X}$ is non-singular outside the origin of $\mathbf{C}^{6}$, since $X$ is non-singular. We denote by $\bar{p}: \mathbf{C}^{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{4}$ the linear projection induced by $p: \mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C})$ in Proposition 2.2. Since $S=p(X)$, we have $\bar{p}\left(C_{X}\right)=C_{S}$. We denote by $n: C_{X} \rightarrow C_{S}$ the restriction $\bar{p}$ to $C_{X}$. Since

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(\nu):=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}\right]^{\otimes \nu}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}\left(\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}\right]^{\otimes 2 \nu}\right)
$$

the map $H^{0}\left(\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}(\nu)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(\nu)\right)$ is surjective for every integer $\nu$, where $\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}\right]$ and $\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}\right]$ denote the hyperplane line bundles on $\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})$, respectively. Therefore $X$ is projectively normal, and equivalently $C_{X}$ is normal (cf. [3]). Hence $n: C_{X} \rightarrow C_{S}$ gives the normalization.

To see that $C_{X}$ has a rational isolated singularity, we take the blowing-up $\hat{b}: \widehat{\mathbf{C}^{6}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{6}$ at the origin of $\mathbf{C}^{6}$. We put $\widehat{C_{X}}:=\hat{b}^{-1}\left(C_{X}\right)$, the proper inverse image of $C_{X}$ by $\hat{b}$, and denote by $b: \widehat{C_{X}} \rightarrow C_{X}$ the restriction of $\hat{b}$ to $\widehat{C_{X}}$. Here we should remember that $\widehat{\mathbf{C}^{6}}$ can be identified with $\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}\right]^{-1}, \widehat{C_{X}}$ with $\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}\right]_{X}^{-1}$, the restriction of $\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}\right]^{-1}$ to $X$, and $b^{-1}(0)$ with the zero cross-section of the line bundle $L:=\left[H_{\mathrm{P}^{5}(\mathbf{C})}\right]_{\mid X}^{-1} \rightarrow X$. By these identifications, for any open neighborhood $U$ of $b^{-1}(0)$ in $\widehat{C_{X}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{q}\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}\right) & \simeq \bigoplus_{\nu \geq o} H^{q}\left(X, L^{-\nu}\right) \\
& \simeq \bigoplus_{\nu \geq o} H^{q}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}(2 \nu)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $q \geq 1$. Hence $\left(R^{q} b_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C X}}\right)_{0}=0$ for any $q \geq 1$, that is, $\left(C_{X}, 0\right)$ is a rational isolated singularity. The multiplicity of the affine cone $C_{X}$ at the vertex 0 is four, because it is equal to the degree of $X$ in $\mathrm{P}^{4}(\mathbf{C})$ ([1], p. 394, Exercise 3.4, (e)). We now refer to the following theorem due to M. Schlessinger:

Theorem ([3]). The cone over a strongly rigid projective manifold is rigid under small deformations.

Here, a projective manifold $Y \subset \mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathbf{C})$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} Y>0$, is defined to be strongly rigid if
(i) $Y$ is projectively normal,
(ii) $H^{1}\left(Y, \Theta_{Y}(\nu)\right)=0,-\infty<\nu<\infty$,
(iii) $H^{1}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\nu)\right)=0,-\infty<\nu<\infty$,
where $\Theta_{Y}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ denote the sheaves of holomor-
phic vector fields and holomorphic functions on $Y$, respectively, and $F(\nu)$ a sheaf $F$ tensored with $\nu$-th power of hyperplane line bundle. The fact that $C_{X}$ is rigid under small deformations follows from the theorem above and Bott's theorem concerning the cohomology $H^{p}\left(\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathbf{C}), \Omega_{\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathbf{C})}^{q}(\nu)\right)$ where $\Omega_{\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathbf{C})}^{q}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic $q$-forms on $\mathrm{P}^{n}(\mathbf{C})$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{1}\left(X, \Theta_{X}(\nu)\right) & \simeq H^{1}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}), \Theta_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}(2 \nu)\right) \\
& \simeq H^{1}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}), \Omega_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}^{1}(-2 \nu-3)\right), \text { and } \\
H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(\nu)\right) & \simeq H^{1}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})}(2 \nu)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.4. The normalization of the hypersurface in $\mathrm{P}^{4}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by the equation (1.1) has isolated rational quadruple points only as singularities. These isolated rational singular points are rigid under small deformations.
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