

# Normalization of a certain degenerate ordinary triple point of dimension three \*

Shoji Tsuboi

(received December 30, 2004)

## 1 The singularity $(xy)^2 + (yz)^2 + (zx)^2 + xyzw = 0$

We consider the following affine threefold:

$$\mathbb{C}^4 \supset T : f := (xy)^2 + (yz)^2 + (zx)^2 + xyzw = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $(x, y, z, w)$  denotes the coordinate on  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . As shown in [6],  $T$  has an ordinary triple point at  $(0, 0, 0, w)$  if  $w \neq 0$ . Hence, we may think of the singularity  $(T, 0)$  of  $T$  at the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  as a *degenerate ordinary triple point*. Let

$$\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}) \supset S : f := (xy)^2 + (yz)^2 + (zx)^2 + xyzw = 0 \quad (2)$$

be the hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ , defined by the same polynomial  $f$  that defines  $T$ . This surface  $S$  is classically known as the *Steiner surface*. The surface  $S$  is obtained by projecting  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ , embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  by monomials of degree 2, to  $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ . Indeed, if we denote by  $X$  the image of  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  by the map  $\nu : \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_0 : \xi_1 : \xi_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}) &\mapsto (\xi_0^2 : \xi_1^2 : \xi_2^2 : \xi_0 \xi_1 : \xi_0 \xi_2 : \xi_1 \xi_2) \\ &= (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : y_0 : y_1 : y_2) \in \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

---

\*This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 15540085), The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan

then the surface  $S$  coincides with the image of  $X$  by the linear projection  $p : P^5(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow P^3(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : y_0 : y_1 : y_2) \in P^5(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto (y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : -(x_0 + x_1 + x_2)) \quad (3) \\ = (x : y : z : w) \in P^3(\mathbb{C}).$$

Applying the calculation in [6], we can see that  $S$  is an algebraic surface with *ordinary singularities*, whose singular locus  $D_S$  is  $\{x = y = 0\} \cup \{y = z = 0\} \cup \{z = x = 0\}$ , and that  $S$  has one ordinary triple point at  $[0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$ , six cuspidal points at  $[0 : 0 : \pm 2 : 1]$ ,  $[0 : \pm 2 : 0 : 1]$ ,  $[\pm 2 : 0 : 0 : 1]$ , and ordinary double points at other points of  $D_S$ . We denote by  $C_S$  the *cone* over  $S$ , which is nothing but  $T$ . We denote by  $C_X$  the cone over  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a non-singular, *projectively normal* subvariety in  $P^5(\mathbb{C})$ , whose degree is 4,  $(C_X, 0)$  is a quadruple *normal* singular point (cf. [5] and [2, Exercise 3.4 (e), p.394]). Hence, if we denote by  $\bar{p} : C^6 \rightarrow C^4$  the linear projection induced by  $p : P^5(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow P^3(\mathbb{C})$  in (3), and by  $n : C_X \rightarrow C_S$  the restriction of  $\bar{p}$  to  $C_X$ , then  $n : C_X \rightarrow C_S$  gives the normalization of  $(T, 0) = (C_S, 0)$ . We are interested in the normal singularity  $(C_X, 0)$ . It becomes non-singular after a single blowing-up. Indeed, as for the blowing-up  $\hat{\tau} : \widehat{C^6} \rightarrow C^6$  at the origin of  $C^6$ ,  $\widehat{C^6}$  can be identified with  $[H_{P^5(\mathbb{C})}]^{-1}$ , where  $[H_{P^5(\mathbb{C})}]$  denotes the line bundle determined by a hyperplane  $H_{P^5(\mathbb{C})}$  of  $P^5(\mathbb{C})$ ; the proper inverse image  $\widehat{C_X}$  of  $C_X$  by  $\tau$  with  $[H_{P^5(\mathbb{C})}]_{|X}^{-1} \simeq [H_{P^2(\mathbb{C})}]^{-2}$ , where  $[H_{P^5(\mathbb{C})}]_{|X}^{-1}$  denotes the restriction of  $[H_{P^5(\mathbb{C})}]^{-1}$  to  $X$ ; and the exceptional divisor  $E := \tau^{-1}(0)$  with the zero cross-section of the line bundle  $L := [H_{P^2(\mathbb{C})}]^{-2}$  on  $P^2(\mathbb{C})$ . From this fact, it follows that  $E^2 = -2H_{P^2(\mathbb{C})}$ , where  $H_{P^2(\mathbb{C})}$  denotes a hyperplane in  $P^2(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 1.1**  $(C_X, 0)$  is

- (i) *rational, and so Cohen-Macaulay,*
- (ii) *“rigid” under small deformations,*
- (iii) *Gorenstein of index two,*
- (iv) *terminal, and so canonical.*

**Proof** For the proofs of the assertions (i) and (ii), we refer to [6]. Here we give the proofs of the assertions (iii) and (iv).

(iii) First, we show that  $(C_X, 0)$  is not 1-Gorenstein. We put

$$\begin{aligned} & I(C_X) \\ := & (x_0x_1 - y_0^2, x_1x_2 - y_2^2, x_0x_2 - y_1^2, x_1y_1 - y_0y_2, x_2y_0 - y_1y_2, x_0y_2 - y_0y_1), \end{aligned}$$

which is the ideal of  $C_X$  in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]$ , and  $R := \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]/I(C_X)$ . We denote by  $A$  the localization  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  of  $R$  at  $R - \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)R$  is the maximal ideal corresponding to  $0 \in C_X$ , and by  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  the maximal ideal of the local ring  $A$ . Since  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  is a regular sequence in  $R$ , so it is in  $A$ . We put  $B := A/(x_0, x_1, x_2)A$ , and denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  the maximal ideal of  $B$ . Then we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^3(\mathbb{C}, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_B(\mathbb{C}, B) \quad (\mathbb{C} \simeq A/\mathfrak{m}_A \simeq B/\mathfrak{m}_B). \quad (4)$$

(cf. [3, §18, Lemma 2]). Since

$$\begin{aligned} R/(x_0, x_1, x_2)R & \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]/\{I(C_X) + (x_0, x_1, x_2)\} \\ & \simeq \mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]/(y_0^2, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_0y_2, y_1y_2, y_0y_1), \end{aligned}$$

if we put

$$\begin{aligned} R' & := \mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2]/(y_0^2, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_0y_2, y_1y_2, y_0y_1) \quad \text{and,} \\ \mathfrak{m}' & := (y_0, y_1, y_2)R', \end{aligned}$$

then we have  $B \simeq R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ . We denote by  $p, q$  and  $r$  the images in  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$  of  $y_0, y_1$  and  $y_2$ , respectively, by the map  $\mathbb{C}[y_0, y_1, y_2] \rightarrow R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ . Then any element of  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$  can be represented by the linear combination of  $1, p, q, r$  with coefficient in the complex number. For  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_B(\mathbb{C}, B)$ , we put

$$\varphi(1) = a_0 + a_1p + a_2q + a_3r \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq i \leq 3)$$

Since multiplying  $1 \in \mathbb{C} \simeq B/\mathfrak{m}_B$  by an element  $b = b_0 + b_1p + b_2q + b_3r \in B$  as a  $B$ -module is given by  $b \cdot 1 = b_0$ , we have

$$\varphi(b \cdot 1) = \varphi(b_0) = b_0\varphi(1) = b_0(a_0 + a_1p + a_2q + a_3r). \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$b\varphi(1) = b_0(a_0 + a_1p + a_2q + a_3r) + a_0(b_1p + b_2q + b_3r). \quad (6)$$

Since  $\varphi(\mathbf{b} \cdot 1) = \mathbf{b}\varphi(1)$ , comparing (6) and (5), we infer that  $a_0 = 0$ . Hence,

$$\varphi(1) = a_1p + a_2q + a_3r \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq i \leq 3).$$

Conversely, any element  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{B})$  is uniquely determined by this condition. Hence  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{B}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 3}$ , that is, by (4),  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{A}}^3(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{A}) \neq \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, the local ring  $\mathbb{A}$  of  $C_X$  at 0 is not 1-Gorenstein (cf. [3, Theorem 18.1]).

Next, we show that  $\omega_{C_X}^{[2]} := \mathcal{O}_{C_X}(2K_{C_X})$  is invertible, where  $\omega_{C_X}$  and  $K_{C_X}$  denote the *canonical sheaf* and the *canonical divisor* (Weil divisor) of  $C_X$ , respectively. Note that

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^{\pm} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, zx, yz],$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^{\pm}$  denotes the subring of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  invariant under the transformation  $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$ , and also note that the kernel of the map

$$\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, zx, yz]$$

defined by

$$x_0 \mapsto x^2, \quad x_1 \mapsto y^2, \quad x_2 \mapsto z^2, \quad y_0 \mapsto xy, \quad y_1 \mapsto zx, \quad y_2 \mapsto yz$$

is nothing but the homogeneous ideal of  $X := v(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}))$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$ , which is also the ideal  $I(C_X)$  of  $C_X$  in  $\mathbb{C}^6$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]/I(C_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, yz, zx].$$

Hence, we conclude that  $C_X \simeq \mathbb{C}^3/\{\pm 1\}$ . From here on, we identify  $C_X$  with  $\mathbb{C}^3/\{\pm 1\}$ . We take out the invariant monomials

$$u_1 = x^2, \quad u_2 = xy, \quad u_3 = xz.$$

from coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{C}^3/\{\pm 1\}$ . We put

$$s = (dx \wedge dy \wedge dz)^2$$

Since  $du_1 = 2xdx$ ,  $du_2 = ydx + xdy$  and  $du_3 = zdx + xdz$ ,

$$du_1 \wedge du_2 \wedge du_3 = 2x^3 dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

Hence,

$$s = \frac{(\mathrm{d}u_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}u_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}u_3)^2}{4x^6} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\mathrm{d}u_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}u_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}u_3)^2}{u_1^3}.$$

This is a rational differential on  $C_X$  having no zeros and poles, and is thus a generator of  $\omega_{C_X}^{[2]} := \mathcal{O}_{C_X}(2K_{C_X})$ . Therefore,  $(C_X, 0)$  is Gorenstein of index 2.

(iv) We denote by  $\tau: \widehat{C}_X \rightarrow C_X$  the blowing-up of  $C_X$  at the origin. On one of affine pieces of  $\widehat{C}_X$ , we take  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  with

$$u_1 = v_1, \quad u_2 = v_1 v_2, \quad u_3 = v_1 v_3$$

as coordinates. Since

$$\tau^*(\mathrm{d}u_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}u_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}u_3) = v_1^2 \mathrm{d}v_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}v_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}v_3,$$

we have

$$\tau^*s = \frac{1}{4} v_1 (\mathrm{d}v_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}v_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}v_3)^2$$

This means  $\tau^*\omega_{C_X}^{[2]} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}_X}(-E + 2K_{\widehat{C}_X})$ , where  $E$  denotes the exceptional divisor, that is,

$$K_{\widehat{C}_X} = \tau^*K_{C_X} + \frac{1}{2}E \quad \text{in } \mathrm{Div}(\widehat{C}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Therefore, by definition,  $(C_X, 0)$  is a terminal singularity.

## 2 An example of singular hypersurfaces in $P^4(\mathbb{C})$ , which have degenerate ordinary triple points

Let  $H_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ) be non-singular hypersurfaces of degrees  $r_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ), respectively, in the complex projective 4-space  $P^4(\mathbb{C})$  such that they are in general position at every point where they intersect. Let  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ) be the homogeneous polynomial of degree  $r_i$  which defines the hypersurface  $H_i$ . We may assume  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3 \geq r_4$  because of symmetry. We choose and fix a positive integer  $n$  with  $n \geq 2r_1 + 2r_2 + 2r_3$ . Let  $\bar{X}$  be a hypersurface in  $P^4(\mathbb{C})$  defined by the equation

$$F := Af_1f_2f_3f_4 + B(f_1f_2f_3)^2 + C(f_1f_2f_4)^2 + D(f_1f_3f_4)^2 + E(f_2f_3f_4)^2 = 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  and  $E$  are homogeneous polynomials of five variables of respective degrees  $n - r_1 - r_2 - r_3 - r_4$ ,  $n - 2r_1 - 2r_2 - 2r_3$ ,  $n - 2r_1 - 2r_2 - 2r_4$ ,  $n - 2r_1 - 2r_3 - 2r_4$  and  $n - 2r_2 - 2r_3 - 2r_4$ . We put  $D_{\bar{X}}^{(ij)} := H_i \cap H_j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ) and  $D_{\bar{X}} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} D_{\bar{X}}^{(ij)}$ . Then, by Bertini's theorem,  $\bar{X}$  is non-singular outside  $D_{\bar{X}}$  if we choose sufficiently generic  $A, B, C, D$  and  $E$ . In [6] we have shown the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.1** *If the homogeneous polynomials  $A, B, C, D$  and  $E$  are chosen sufficiently generic, then  $\bar{X}$  is locally isomorphic to one of the following germs of three dimensional hypersurface singularities at the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  at every point of  $\bar{X}$ :*

- (i)  $w = 0$  (simple point),
- (ii)  $zw = 0$  (ordinary double point),
- (iii)  $yzw = 0$  (ordinary triple point),
- (iv)  $xyzw = 0$  (ordinary quadruple point),
- (v)  $xy^2 - z^2 = 0$  (cuspidal point),
- (vi)  $(xy)^2 + (yz)^2 + (zx)^2 + xyzw = 0$  (degenerate ordinary triple point),

where  $(x, y, z, w)$  is the coordinate on  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_1 \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

where  $Y = \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ ;  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ : the composite of the normalization map  $n : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$  and the inclusion  $\iota : \bar{X} \subset Y$ ;  $\sigma : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ : the blowing-up of  $Y$  along the degenerate ordinary triple point locus  $\sum \bar{d}$  of  $\bar{X}$ ;  $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$ : the fiber product of  $X$  and  $Y_1$  over  $Y$ ;  $\tau : X_1 \rightarrow X$ : the projection to the first factor of  $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$ , which is nothing but the blowing-up of  $X$  along  $\sum \bar{d}$ , the inverse image of  $\sum \bar{d}$  by  $g$ ;  $g_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ : the projection to the second factor of  $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$ , which is nothing but the composite of the normalization map  $n_1 : X_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_1$  and the inclusion  $\iota : \bar{X}_1 \subset Y_1$ , where  $\bar{X}_1$  denotes the proper inverse image of  $\bar{X}$  by  $\sigma$ . Note that  $X$  is a normal algebraic variety which has isolated singular points described in §1 only;  $\bar{X}_1$  is an algebraic variety which has the singular points (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) only; and  $X_1$  is non-singular.

**Lemma 2.1** For a line bundle  $\mathbf{L}$  on  $Y_1$  and natural numbers  $a, b$ , we have the sheaf isomorphism

$$g_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(g_1^*\mathbf{L} \otimes [-\sum_d aE_d - bD_{X_1}])) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(\mathbf{L} \otimes [\sum_{\bar{d}} E_{\bar{d}}]^{-a}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}} \mathcal{J}(D_{\bar{X}_1})^b \quad (8)$$

where  $E_d := \tau^{-1}(d)$  for  $d \in \sum d := g^{-1}(\sum \bar{d})$ ;  $E_{\bar{d}} := \sigma^{-1}(\bar{d})$  for  $\bar{d} \in \sum \bar{d}$ ;  $D_{\bar{X}_1}$  the singular locus  $\bar{X}_1$ ;  $D_{X_1} := g^{-1}(D_{\bar{X}_1})$ ; and  $\mathcal{J}(D_{\bar{X}_1})$  the ideal sheaf of  $D_{\bar{X}_1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ .

**Proof** This can be proved by direct calculation, using the description of the map  $g_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  in terms of local coordinates. For example, if  $p \in E_{\bar{d}}$  is a cuspidal point of  $\bar{X}_1$ , then the map  $g_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  is described as  $(u, v, w) \mapsto (u^2, v, uv, w) = (x, y, z, w)$  at  $g_1^{-1}(p)$ , where  $\bar{X}_1 : xy^2 - z^2 = 0$ ,  $E_{\bar{d}} : w = 0$ , locally, and  $\mathcal{J}(D_{\bar{X}_1}) = (y, z)\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ .

**Proposition 2.2**

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \omega_X^{[2]}) &\simeq H^p(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}([2K_{X_1} - \sum_d E_d])) \\ &\simeq H^p(\bar{X}_1, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}([2(n-5)H_1 - \sum_{\bar{d}} 3E_{\bar{d}}]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}} \mathcal{J}(D_{\bar{X}_1})^2) \quad (p \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** By the *projection formula*,

$$\begin{aligned} R^q \tau_* \tau^* \omega_X^{[2]} &\simeq \omega_X^{[2]} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R^q \tau_* \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \\ &= \begin{cases} \omega_X^{[2]} & q = 0, \\ 0 & q \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Leray's spectral sequence, we have

$$H^p(X, \omega_X^{[2]}) \simeq H^p(X_1, \tau^* \omega_X^{[2]}) \simeq H^p(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}([2K_{X_1} - \sum_d E_d])) \quad (9)$$

By the *double popine formula* (cf. [1, Theorem 9.3, p.166]),

$$K_{X_1} = g_1^*(\bar{X}_1 + K_{Y_1}) - D_{X_1} \quad (10)$$

where  $D_{X_1} :=$  the closure of  $\{x \in X_1 \mid \#g_1^{-1}(g(x)) \geq 2\}$ . Since  $\sigma^*[\bar{X}] = [\bar{X}_1] + 4 \sum_{\bar{d}} [E_{\bar{d}}]$  and  $K_{Y_1} = \sigma^*K_Y + 3 \sum_{\bar{d}} [E_{\bar{d}}]$ , where  $\sum_{\bar{d}}$  denotes the sum in which  $\bar{d}$  runs all over degenerate ordinary triple points of  $\bar{X}$ , we have

$$\bar{X}_1 + K_{Y_1} = \sigma^*[\bar{X} + K_Y] - \sum_{\bar{d}} [E_{\bar{d}}]. \quad (11)$$

Since  $\bar{X} + K_Y = (n-5)H$ , where  $H$  is a generic hyperplane in  $Y$  and  $n = \deg \bar{X}$ , if we denote by  $H_1$  the proper image of  $H$ , by (10) and (11),

$$K_{X_1} = g_1^*((n-5)H_1) - \sum_d [E_d] - D_{X_1}.$$

Hence,  $2K_{X_1} - \sum_d E_d = g_1^*(2(n-5)H_1) - \sum_d [3E_d] - 2D_{X_1}$ . Therefore, by (9) and Lemma 2.1, we have the second isomorphism between cohomologies in the proposition.

## References

- [1] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge · Band 2, A series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer, 1984.
- [2] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [3] H. Matsumura, Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [4] M. Reid, Canonical 3-folds, Proc. Algebraic Geometry Anger 1979, Si-jthoff and Nordhoff, 273–310, 1980.
- [5] M. Schlessinger, On rigid singularities, Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Rice University Studies, 59, No. 1, 147–162, 1973.
- [6] S. Tsuboi, On certain hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities in  $P^4(\mathbf{C})$ , Proc. Japan Acad. **79A**, No. 1, 1–4, 2003.

*Shoji TSUBOI*

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science*

*Faculty of Science*

*Kagoshima University*

*Korimoto 1-chome, 34-9*

*Kagoshima, 890-0065 JAPAN*