

Infinitesimal Parameter Spaces of Locally Trivial Deformations of Compact Complex Surfaces with Ordinary Singularities

Shoji TSUBOI

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kagoshima University
E-mail: tsuboi@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32G13; Secondary 32G05, 32C35

Abstract

In this paper we shall give a description of the cohomology $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ for a compact complex surface S with *ordinary singularities*, using a 2-cubic hyper-resolution of S in the sense of F. Guillén, V. Navarro Aznar *et al.* ([2]), where Θ_S denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields on S . As a by-product, we shall show that the natural homomorphism $H^1(S, \Theta_S) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_X(-\log D_X))$ is injective under some condition, where X is the (non-singular) normal model of S , D_X the inverse image of the double curve D_S of S by the normalization map $f : X \rightarrow S$, and $\Theta_X(-\log D_X)$ the sheaf of germs of logarithmic tangent vector fields along D_X on X .

1 2-cubic hyper-resolutions of compact complex surfaces with ordinary singularities

A 2-dimensional compact complex space S is called a compact complex surface with *ordinary singularities* if it is locally isomorphic to one of the following germs of hypersurfaces at the origin of the complex 3-space \mathbb{C}^3 at every point of S :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) z = 0 \text{ (simple point)} & (ii) yz = 0 \text{ (ordinary double point)} \\ (iii) xyz = 0 \text{ (ordinary triple point)} & (iv) xy^2 - z^2 = 0 \text{ (cuspidal point)}, \end{array} \right.$$

where (x, y, z) is the coordinate on \mathbb{C}^3 . These surfaces are attractive because every smooth complex projective surface can be obtained as the normalization of such a surface S in the 3-dimensional complex projective space $P^3(\mathbb{C})$. In fact, every smooth, compact complex surface embedded in a complex projective space can be projected onto such a surface S in $P^3(\mathbb{C})$ via generic projection. We denote by D_S

the singular locus of S , and call it the *double curve* of S . D_S is a singular curve with triple points. We denote by Σt_S the triple point locus of S , and by Σc_S the cuspidal point locus of S . Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be the normalization. Note that X is non-singular. We put $D_X := f^{-1}(D_S)$ and $\Sigma t_X := f^{-1}(\Sigma t_S)$. D_X is a singular curve with nodes and Σt_X coincides with the set of nodes of D_X . Let $n_S : D_S^* \rightarrow D_S$ and $n_X : D_X^* \rightarrow D_X$ be the normalizations, and let $g : D_X^* \rightarrow D_S^*$ be the lifting of the map $f|_{D_X} : D_X \rightarrow D_S$. We put $\Sigma t_S^* := n_S^{-1}(\Sigma t_S)$ and $\Sigma t_X^* := n_X^{-1}(\Sigma t_X)$. Then a *2-cubic hyper-resolution* of S in the sense of F. Guillén, V. Navarro Aznar *et al.* ([2]) is obtained as in the diagram (1.1) below. In the diagram, ν_S and ν_X are the composites of the normalizations and the inclusion maps, and the square on the left-hand side is the one induced from the square on the right-hand side.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_{111} := \sum t_X^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D_X^* := X_{011} \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow g \\
 X_{110} := \sum t_S^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D_S^* := X_{010} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_X \\
 & & \sum t_X := X_{101} \xrightarrow{\quad} X := X_{001} \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow f \\
 X_{100} := \sum t_S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S := X_{000}
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.1}$$

2 Description of $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ by use of the 2-cubic hyper-resolution of S

We put $\Theta_S := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Omega_S^1, \mathcal{O}_S)$, and call it the *sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields on S* . We call $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ the *infinitesimal locally trivial deformation space* of a compact complex surface S with ordinary singularities. This naming is due to the fact that the parameter space of the 1st-order infinitesimal *locally trivial deformation* of S sits in this space, where "*locally trivial deformation*" means the deformation which preserves local analytic singularity types. In the following we shall describe $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ by use of the diagram (1.1). We denote symbolically

the 2-cubic hyper-resolution of S in the diagram (1.1) by $b. : X. \rightarrow S$. For each $\alpha \in \text{Ob}(\square_2^+) := \{\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 2\}$, an object of the *augmented 2-cubic category* in the sense of F. Guillén, V. Navarro Aznar *et al.* ([2]), we denote by Θ_{X_α} the sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields on X_α ($X_0 := S$ for $0 := (0, 0, 0) \in \text{Ob}(\square_2^+)$), and by $\Theta(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$ the sheaf of germs of \mathcal{O}_{X_α} -valued derivations on S , i.e., $\theta \in \Theta(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow b_{\alpha*}\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$ with the property $\theta(uv) = \theta(u)v + u\theta(v)$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_S$, where b_α is the map from X_α to S in the diagram (1.1) (cf. [2]). For each $\alpha \in \text{Ob}(\square_2) := \{\alpha \in \text{Ob}(\square_2^+) \mid \alpha \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$, we define $tb_\alpha : b_{\alpha*}\Theta_{X_\alpha} \rightarrow \Theta(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$ (resp. $\omega b_\alpha : \Theta_S \rightarrow \Theta(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$) by $tb_\alpha(\theta) := \theta b_\alpha^*$ for $\theta \in b_{\alpha*}\Theta_{X_\alpha}$ (resp. $\omega b_\alpha(\varphi) := b_\alpha^*\varphi$ for $\varphi \in \Theta_S$), where $b_\alpha^* : \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow b_{\alpha*}\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$ denotes the pull-back.

Definition 1 We define

$$\Theta(b.) :=$$

$$\text{Ker}\{\oplus_{\alpha \in \text{Ob}(\square_2^+)} b_{\alpha*}\Theta_{X_\alpha} \rightarrow \oplus_{\alpha \in \text{Ob}(\square_2)} \Theta(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}) : (\theta_\alpha) \rightarrow tb_\alpha(\theta_\alpha) - \omega b_\alpha(\theta_0)\},$$

and call it the *sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields to the 2-cubic hyper-resolution $b. : X. \rightarrow S$* .

Further, we introduce the following notation:

$\Theta_X(-\log D_X)$: the sheaf of germs of logarithmic tangent vector fields along D_X on X , i.e., the subsheaf of Θ_X consisting of derivations of \mathcal{O}_X which send $\mathcal{I}(D_X)$, the ideal sheaf of D_X in \mathcal{O}_X , into itself.

$\Theta_{D_S^*}(-\Sigma c_S^* - \Sigma t_S^*)$: the sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields on D_S^* which vanish on Σc_S^* and Σt_S^* , where Σc_S^* is the inverse image of the cuspidal point locus Σc_S of S by the normalization map $n_S : D_S^* \rightarrow D_S$,

$\Theta_{D_X^*}(-\Sigma t_X^*)$: the sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields on D_X^* which vanish on Σt_X^* . (Note that Σt_X^* coincides with the inverse image of the triple point locus Σt_S of D_S by the composed map $n_S \circ g : D_X^* \rightarrow D_S$.)

Proposition 1 *There exists naturally the following exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \Theta_S \xrightarrow{\widehat{\omega f} \oplus \widehat{\omega \nu_S}} f_*\Theta_X(-\log D_X) \oplus \nu_{S*}\Theta_{D_S^*}(-\Sigma c_S^* - \Sigma t_S^*) \\ \xrightarrow{\widehat{\omega \nu_X} - \widehat{\omega g}} \nu_{X*}\Theta_{D_X^*}(-\Sigma t_X^*) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu := f \circ \nu_X = \nu_S \circ g$.

The proof of this proposition is a direct calculation by use of the local coordinate description of the maps $f : X \rightarrow S$, $\nu_S : D_S^* \rightarrow S$, $\nu_X : D_X^* \rightarrow X$, and $g : D_X^* \rightarrow D_S^*$.

Corollary 1 $\Theta(b.) \simeq \Theta_S$.

Theorem 1 *If the map*

$$H^0(X, \Theta_X(-\log D_X)) \oplus H^0(D_S^*, \Theta_{D_S^*}(-\Sigma c_S^* - \Sigma t_S^*)) \rightarrow H^0(D_X^*, \Theta_{D_X^*}(-\Sigma t_X^*))$$

is surjective, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(S, \Theta(b.)) &\simeq H^1(S, \Theta_S) \\ &\simeq \text{Ker}\{H^1(X, \Theta_X(-\log D_X)) \oplus H^1(D_S^*, \Theta_{D_S^*}(-\Sigma c_S^* - \Sigma t_S^*)) \\ &\quad \rightarrow H^1(D_X^*, \Theta_{D_X^*}(-\Sigma t_X^*))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2 *The map*

$$H^1(D_S^*, \Theta_{D_S^*}(-\Sigma c_S^* - \Sigma t_S^*)) \rightarrow H^1(D_X^*, \Theta_{D_X^*}(-\Sigma t_X^*))$$

is injective.

The proof of this proposition will be completed after a few lemmas. First, we will prove general facts about a double covering $\pi : C_1 \rightarrow C$ between compact Riemann surfaces, or connected, compact complex manifolds of dimension 1. We denote by Σc the branch locus of the double covering $\pi : C_1 \rightarrow C$, and by $[\Sigma c]$ the line bundle over C determined by the divisor Σc . Due to Wavrik's result ([7]), there exists a complex line bundle F over C such that;

- (i) $F^{\otimes 2} = [\Sigma c]$, and
- (ii) C_1 is a submanifold of F and the bundle map $F \rightarrow C$ realizes the double covering $\pi : C_1 \rightarrow C$.

The transition functions of the line bundle F are given as follows: We choose a covering $\{U_j, U_\lambda\}$ of C by polycylinders having the following properties;

- (i) $U_j \cap \Sigma c = \emptyset$, and $U_\lambda \cap \Sigma c \neq \emptyset$,
- (ii) on U_λ , Σc has the equation $u_\lambda = 0$ where u_λ is a local coordinate on U_λ ,
- (iii) $\pi^{-1}(U_j) = U_j^{(0)} \cup U_j^{(1)}$, $U_j^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(1)} = \emptyset$,
- (iv) on $U_j^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = 0, 1$, the map π is given by $u_j = v_j^{(\nu)}$ where u_j and $v_j^{(\nu)}$ are local coordinates on U_j and $U_j^{(\nu)}$, respectively, and
- (v) on $U_\lambda^\sharp := \pi^{-1}(U_\lambda)$, the map π is given by $u_\lambda = v_\lambda^2$ where v_λ is a local coordinate on U_λ^\sharp .

We define

$$f_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_i^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(0)} \neq \emptyset \\ -1 & \text{if } U_i^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(1)} \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

$$f_{\lambda j} := g_{\lambda j}^{(0)},$$

where $g_{\lambda j}^{(0)}$ denotes the coordinate-transformation function, i.e., $v_\lambda = g_{\lambda j}^{(0)}(v_j^{(0)})$. Then $\{f_{ij}, f_{\lambda j}\}$ are the transition functions of the line bundle F over C . We may think that C_1 is a submanifold of F defined by $\xi_i^2 = 1$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$, and by $\xi_\lambda^2 = u_\lambda$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_\lambda)$ where ξ_i and ξ_λ are fiber coordinates of F over U_i and U_λ , respectively.

Lemma 1 *With the notation above, there exists an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_C -modules*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We use the same notation as before. The homomorphism $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$ of \mathcal{O}_C -modules is defined as follows: For a local cross-section $(U_i^{(0)}, \phi_i^{(0)})$, $(U_i^{(1)}, \phi_i^{(1)})$ of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1}$ over U_i , we put

$$\psi_i(u_i) := \phi_i^{(0)}(u_i) - \phi_i^{(1)}(u_i).$$

For a local cross-section $(U_\lambda^\sharp, \phi_\lambda)$ of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1}$ over U_λ , we put

$$\psi_\lambda(u_\lambda) := \frac{\phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) - \phi_\lambda(-v_\lambda)}{v_\lambda}.$$

We note that the right-hand-side of this is invariant by the transformation $v_\lambda \rightarrow -v_\lambda$, and so it defines a holomorphic function on U_λ . We can see that the collection

$\{\psi_i, \psi_\lambda\}$ defines a local cross-section of $\mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$. Indeed, if $U_i^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(0)} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\phi_i^{(0)} = \phi_j^{(0)}$ on $U_i^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(0)}$, $\phi_i^{(1)} = \phi_j^{(1)}$ on $U_i^{(1)} \cap U_j^{(1)}$ and $f_{ij} = 1$. Hence

$$\psi_i = \phi_i^{(0)} - \phi_i^{(1)} = \phi_j^{(0)} - \phi_j^{(1)} = f_{ij}^{-1} \psi_j \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j.$$

If $U_i^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(1)} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\phi_i^{(0)} = \phi_j^{(1)}$ on $U_i^{(0)} \cap U_j^{(1)}$, $\phi_i^{(1)} = \phi_j^{(0)}$ on $U_i^{(1)} \cap U_j^{(0)}$ and $f_{ij} = -1$. Hence

$$\psi_i = \phi_i^{(0)} - \phi_i^{(1)} = -(\phi_j^{(0)} - \phi_j^{(1)}) = f_{ij}^{-1} \psi_j \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j.$$

If $U_\lambda \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) = \phi_i^{(0)}(v_i^{(0)}) & \text{on } U_\lambda^\# \cap U_i^{(0)}, \text{ and} \\ \phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) = \phi_i^{(1)}(v_i^{(1)}) & \text{on } U_\lambda^\# \cap U_i^{(1)}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\phi_\lambda(-v_\lambda) = \phi_i^{(1)}(v_i^{(0)}) \text{ on } U_\lambda^\# \cap U_i^{(0)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(u_\lambda) &= \frac{\phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) - \phi_\lambda(-v_\lambda)}{v_\lambda} = g_{\lambda i}^{(0)}(v_i^{(0)})^{-1} \{ \phi_i^{(0)}(v_i^{(0)}) - \phi_i^{(1)}(v_i^{(0)}) \} \\ &= f_{\lambda i}^{-1}(u_i) \psi_i(u_i) \text{ on } U_\lambda \cap U_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the collection $\{\psi_i, \psi_\lambda\}$ certainly defines a local cross-section of $\mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$. We define the homomorphism $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$ in (2.1) by the correspondence

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_i^{(0)}, \phi_i^{(1)}) \mapsto \psi_i & \text{over } U_i, \text{ and} \\ \phi_\lambda \mapsto \psi_\lambda & \text{over } U_\lambda. \end{cases}$$

The fact that the kernel of the homomorphism $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$ is \mathcal{O}_C is obvious. The surjectivity of the homomorphism $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$ at a point $p \notin \Sigma c_\lambda$ is also obvious. We will show the surjectivity of this homomorphism at a point $p \in \Sigma c_\lambda$.

Let ψ be a local cross-section of $\mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$ at the point p . We may think of it as a holomorphic function defined around p . Let

$$\psi(u_\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k u_\lambda^k$$

be the power series expansion of ψ with center p . We put

$$\phi(v_\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} a_k v_\lambda^{2k+1}$$

Then, since $u_\lambda = v_\lambda^2$, we have

$$\psi_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{\phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) - \phi_\lambda(-v_\lambda)}{v_\lambda}.$$

Thus the homomorphism $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$ is surjective at the point $p \in \Sigma c$.

Q.E.D.

Let $\pi : C_1 \rightarrow C$ and Σc be the same as before, and let Σt be a set of finite distinct points of C with $\Sigma c \cap \Sigma t = \emptyset$. We put $\Sigma t_1 := \pi^{-1}(\Sigma t)$.

Lemma 2 *With the notation above, we have an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_C -modules*

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t) \rightarrow \pi_* \Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1) \rightarrow \Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof Since $\pi_*(\pi^* \Theta_C(-\Sigma t)) \simeq \Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_1}$, tensoring the sheaf $\Theta_C(-\Sigma t)$ to the exact sequence in (2.1), we have an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_C -modules

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \rightarrow \pi_*(\pi^* \Theta_C(-\Sigma t)) \rightarrow \Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We also have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences of \mathcal{O}_C -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \Theta_C(-\Sigma t) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\omega\pi}} & \pi_*(\pi^* \Theta_C(-\Sigma t)) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t) & \rightarrow & \pi_* \Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

where $\widehat{\omega\pi}$ denotes the pull-back. We will show that this diagram gives an isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_*\Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1)/\Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t) \simeq \pi_*(\pi^*\Theta_C(-\Sigma t))/\Theta_C(-\Sigma t).$$

To prove the surjectivity of the homomorphism in (2.4), we will first show that

$$(2.5) \quad \widehat{t\pi}(\Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1)_{\pi^{-1}(p)}) + \widehat{\omega\pi}(\Theta_C(-\Sigma t)_p) = \pi^*\Theta_C(-\Sigma t)_{\pi^{-1}(p)}$$

for any point $p \in C$, where $\widehat{t\pi}$ denotes the map derived from the Jacobian map of the map π . If $p \notin \Sigma c$, (2.5) obviously holds. Assume $p \in \Sigma c$. We put $q := \pi^{-1}(p)$, and let u and v be local coordinates around p and q with center p and q , respectively. We may assume that the map $\pi : C_1 \rightarrow C$ is given by $v \rightarrow u = v^2$ at q . For a local cross-section $a(v)\pi^*(\partial/\partial u)$ of $\pi^*\Theta_C(-\Sigma t)$ around q where $a(v)$ is a holomorphic function of v , we express $a(v)$ as

$$a(v) = a(0) + va_1(v)$$

where $a_1(v)$ is a holomorphic function of v . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{t\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2}a_1(v)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)\right) + \widehat{\omega\pi}\left(a(0)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\right) \\ &= (va_1(v) + a(0))\pi^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) = a(v)\pi^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (2.5) holds for the point $p \in \Sigma c$. To prove the injectivity of the homomorphism in (2.4), it suffices to show that, for any point $p \in C$ and a local holomorphic cross-section θ_1 of $\pi_*\Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1)$ at p , if $\widehat{t\pi}(\theta_{1,p})$ belongs to $\widehat{\omega\pi}(\Theta_C(-\Sigma t)_p)$, then $\theta_{1,p}$ belongs to the image $\Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t)_p$ in $\pi_*\Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1)_p$. Since this is obvious if $p \notin \Sigma c$, we assume $p \in \Sigma c$. We take the same local coordinates u and v around p and $q := \pi^{-1}(p)$ as before, respectively. For a local cross-section $\theta_1 = a_1(v)(\partial/\partial v)$ of $\Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1)$ at q , we assume that there exists a local cross-section $\theta = a(u)(\partial/\partial u)$ of $\Theta_C(-\Sigma t)$ at p such that $\widehat{t\pi}(\theta_1) = \widehat{\omega\pi}(\theta)$. Then

$$2a_1(v)v\pi^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) = a(v^2)\pi^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)$$

Hence $a(0) = 0$, that is, θ belongs to $\Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t)$. This means θ_1 belongs to the image of $\Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t)$ in $\pi_*\Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1)$ at p . Now the exact sequence in (2.2) follows from (2.3) and (2.4).

Q.E.D.

Remark 1 In the proof of Lemma 2, the equality in (2.5) is essential. This equality tells that the double branched covering map $\pi : C_1 \rightarrow C$ is *locally stable* in the sense of J. N. Mather (cf. [1]).

Proof of Proposition 2 We may assume that D_S^* is irreducible, and so it suffices to show that the homomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad H^1(C, \Theta_C(-\Sigma c - \Sigma t)) \rightarrow H^1(C_1, \Theta_{C_1}(-\Sigma t_1))$$

derived from the exact sequence in (2.2) is injective. For this purpose, we count the degree of the line bundle $\Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})$. We denote by K_C and $g(C)$ the canonical line bundle and the genus of the curve C , respectively. Then, since $F^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_C([\Sigma c])$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})) &= -\deg K_C - \deg F - \#\Sigma t \\ &= -2(g(C) - 1) - \frac{1}{2}\#\Sigma c - \#\Sigma t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\#$ denote the cardinal numbers of sets. Then we have

$$-2(g(C) - 1) - \frac{1}{2}\#\Sigma c - \#\Sigma t < 0$$

with the exception of the following cases:

- (i) $g(C) = 1$, $\Sigma c = \emptyset$, and $\Sigma t = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $g(C) = 0$, $\Sigma c = \emptyset$, and $0 \leq \#\Sigma t \leq 2$,
- (iii) $g(C) = 0$, $\#\Sigma c = 2$, and $0 \leq \#\Sigma t \leq 1$,
- (iv) $g(C) = 0$, $\#\Sigma c = 4$, and $\Sigma t = \emptyset$.

Hence, excluding the exceptional cases listed above, we have

$$(2.7) \quad H^0(C, \Theta_C(-\Sigma t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(F^{-1})) = 0,$$

and so the homomorphism in (2.6) is injective as required. Now, checking the exceptional cases, case by case, we conclude that the homomorphism in (2.6) is always injective.

Corollary 2 *If the map*

$$(2.8) \quad H^0(X, \Theta_X(-\log D_X)) \oplus H^0(D_S^*, \Theta_{D_S^*}(-\Sigma c_S^* - \Sigma t_S^*)) \rightarrow H^0(D_X^*, \Theta_{D_X^*}(-\Sigma t_X^*))$$

is surjective, then the natural map

$$H^1(S, \Theta_S) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_X(-\log D_X))$$

is injective.

By Corollary 2, we have the following:

Theorem 2 *For a compact complex surface S with ordinary singularities, we denote by M (resp. M_1) the parameter space of the Kuranishi family of locally trivial deformations of S (resp. of the pair (X, D_X)), and by o (resp. o_1) the point of M (resp. M_1) corresponding to the surface S (resp. the pair (X, D_X)). If the map in (2.8) is surjective, then there exists a closed embedding from a sufficiently small open neighborhood of o in M into that of o_1 in M_1 with $h(o) = o_1$.*

References

- [1] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 14, 1973, Springer, New York
- [2] F. Guillén, V. Navarro Aznar, P. Pascual-Gainza and F. Puerta *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*, Lecture Notes in Math.1335, 1988, Springer, Berlin
- [3] V. P. Paramodov *Tangent fields on deformations of complex spaces*, Mathematics of USSR Sbornik (English Translation) 71, No.1, 163-182, 1992
- [4] S. Tsuboi *Cubic hyper-equisingular families of complex projective varieties*, I, II, Proc. Japan Acad 71, 207-209, 210-212, 1995
- [5] S. Tsuboi *Infinitesimal mixed Torelli problem for algebraic surfaces with ordinary singularities*, I, preprint
- [6] S. Tsuboi *Infinitesimal mixed Torelli problem for algebraic surfaces with ordinary singularities*, II, in preparation
- [7] J. J. Wavrik *Deformations of Banach coverings of complex manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 90, 926-960, 1968