

On second order weakly hyperbolic equations and the ultradifferentiable classes

Fumihiko Hirosawa^a, Haruhisa Ishida^b

^a Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yamaguchi University, Yamaguchi 753-8512, Japan

^b Department of Computer Science, The University of Electro-Communications, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan

1 Introduction

We study the Cauchy problem for second order weakly hyperbolic equations with time dependent coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - a(t)^2 \Delta) u = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n, \\ (u(0, x), u_t(0, x)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $a(t) \geq 0$ and $T > 0$. The pioneer paper [1] shows that the *smoothness* of the coefficient $a(t)$ is crucial for the well-posedness of (1). Precisely, if $a(t)$ is smoother in the sense of Hölder continuity, then (1) is well-posed in the Gevrey class of larger order. Furthermore, it is studied in [2] that (1) is well-posed in the appropriate functions space, which is set between C^∞ class and the Gevrey class, if $a(t)$ belongs to an intermediate class between C^∞ and real analytic class. In particular, it is studied in [4] that if $a(t) > 0$ on $[0, T)$ and $a(T) = 0$ then (1) can be C^∞ well-posed for $a(t) \in C^2$ under suitable assumptions to $a(t)$ for the orders of *degeneration* and *oscillation* as $t \rightarrow T$. Generally, we cannot expect that further smoothness of $a(t)$ than C^2 brings a benefit as the results [1, 2] for the model of one point degeneration. However, we can do it if we introduce an additional property of the coefficient, which is called the *stabilization* property. Indeed, it is proved in [3] that there exists an example of $a(t)$ such that the C^∞ well-posedness cannot be proved by [4] but can be done if we assume a suitable stabilization condition and $a(t) \in C^\infty$ simultaneously. The main purpose of this talk is to consider a possibility that further smoothness of $a(t)$, which belongs to the ultradifferentiable class, bring a benefit for the C^∞ well-posedness of (1).

2 Main theorem

For a function $\lambda(t)$ satisfying

$$\lambda'(t) \leq 0, \quad \lambda(t) > 0 \quad \text{on } [0, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(T) = 0 \quad (2)$$

we denote

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_t^T \lambda(s) ds. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, we define the positive monotone decreasing function $\Theta(t)$ by

$$\Theta(t) = \int_t^T |a(s) - \lambda(s)| ds. \quad (4)$$

Let us introduce the following hypothesis:

(H1) There exists a constant $C_0 > 1$ such that

$$C_0^{-1} \lambda(t) \leq a(t) \leq C_0 \lambda(t). \quad (5)$$

(H2)

$$\Theta(t) = o(\Lambda(t)) \quad (t \rightarrow T). \quad (6)$$

(H3) $a(t) \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ satisfies

$$\frac{|a^{(k)}(t)|}{\lambda(t)} \leq M_k \rho(t)^k \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (7)$$

for a positive and strictly increasing function $\rho(t) \in C^0([0, T))$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \rho(t) = \infty$, and a sequence of positive real numbers $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ satisfying

$$\frac{M_k}{kM_{k-1}} \leq \frac{M_{k+1}}{(k+1)M_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (8)$$

Here we define the associated function $\mathcal{M}(r)$ of $\{M_k\}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(r) = \mathcal{M}(r; \{M_k\}) := \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{r^k}{M_k} \right\} \quad (r > 0). \quad (9)$$

Then our main theorem is represented as follows:

Theorem 1. *If there exist $\lambda(t) \in C^1([0, T])$ satisfying (2) and a sequence $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that (H1), (H2) and (H3) with*

$$\rho(t) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{\mathcal{M}^{-1}\left(\frac{\Lambda(t)}{\Theta(t)}\right)} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{\Theta(t)}\right) \quad (10)$$

are valid for a positive strictly increasing function $\sigma(r) \in C^0([0, \infty))$, then there exists a positive constant C such that the following estimate is established

$$\|(u(t, \cdot), u_t(t, \cdot))\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| e^{C\mu(\langle D \rangle)} (u_0(\cdot), u_1(\cdot)) \right\|_{L^2}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\mu(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{\sigma^{-1}(\tau)}. \quad (12)$$

References

- [1] F. Colombini, E. Jannelli, and S. Spagnolo, Well-posedness in the Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problem for a nonstrictly hyperbolic equation with coefficients depending on time. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **10** (1983) 291-312.
- [2] F. Colombini and T. Nishitani, On second order weakly hyperbolic equations and the Gevrey classes. *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* **31** (2000) 31-50.
- [3] F. Hirose, On second order weakly hyperbolic equations with oscillating coefficients and regularity loss of the solutions. *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010) 1771-1794.
- [4] K. Yagdjian, The Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators. Multiple characteristics, micro-local approach, *Mathematical Topics Vol. 12* (Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1997).